







in particular austract some of the aspects are not applicable, prease mark as two (not applicable). For each evaluation aspect comments are welcome, especially if valuation is less than 8. Your comments will help to improve quality of the conference papers.

	Evaluation aspects	Points
1	The topic of this abstract is relevant for the conference and submitted track. (1 – completely irrelevant, 10 - very relevant)	8
2	The extended abstract contains well defined aim and tasks of the research. (1 - unsatisfactory, 10 - completely)	8
3	The research methodology for the study is appropriate. (1 – completely inappropriate, 10 – very appropriate)	9
4	The supporting evidence in this study is strongly reliable (1 – completely unreliable, 10 - very reliable or NA)	
5	The results of analysis are correctly interpreted. (1 - very poor, 10 - very well)	9
6	The conclusions are sound. (1 - very poor, 10 - very well)	8
7	The abstract is free from grammatical and spelling errors (1 - very poor, 10 - very well)	8

Suggestions for improvement			
Reviewer's recommendation:			
Accept	X		
Accept with minor revisions (state in "Suggestions for improvement")			
Invite resubmission for a new review after major revisions			
Reject			

Purworejo, August 7th, 2019 Team Reviewers,







