

BIODATA PENELITI



A. Data Pribadi

Nama : Gusti Alpino Virgiawan, S.Kep
Tempat Tanggal Lahir : Loa Kulu, 06 Juli 1994
Alamat Asal : Jl. H Masdamsi RT 02 No 01 Desa Loa
Kulu , Kec. Loa Kulu Kota, Kota Tenggara

B. Riwayat Pendidikan

Pendidikan Formal

1. Tamat SD Tahun : 2007 di SDN 006 Loa Kulu
2. Tamat SMP Tahun : 2010 di SMPN 1 Loa Kulu
3. Tamat SLTA Tahun : 2013 di SMAN 1 Loa Kulu
4. Tamat D III Tahun : 2015 di Akper Yarsi
5. Tamat S1 Tahun : 2019 di UMKT

Pendidikan Non Formal

RAC JURNAL Oz Alkan

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely							5	19
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly				4				
6	Results are summarized						5		
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated						5		
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly						5		50
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely						5		
10	Problem statement is clear						5		
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form							6	
	That permits them to be tested								
12	Limitations of the study can be identified						6		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified						6		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined						6		
15	Significance of the problem is identified						5		
16	Research is justified						6		
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE							

17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem						5		31
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research						5		
19	Studies are critically examined							6	
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear						5		
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated						5		
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplications to the research problem understudy						5		
		METHODOLOGY							
		a. Subjects							
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described							6	81
24	Sampling method is described					4			
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)						5		
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error						5		
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified						5		
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse							6	
		b. Instruments							
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented							6	
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported						5		
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented						5		
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported						5		

33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study							5	
		c. Design							
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis								6
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate								6
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified								6
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication								6
		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions								6
39	Statistical tests used are identified								6
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for hypotheses/ research questions								6
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way								6
		DISCUSSION							
42	Conclusions are clearly stated							5	
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented							5	
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed							5	
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study							5	

24

37

46	Implications of the findings are discussed							6	
47	Results are generalized on ly to populations on which Study is based							5	
48	Recommendations are made for further research							6	
		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written							5	
50	Report is logically organized							5	
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude							6	16
		Total							276
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									
a. Superior(205-306 points)									Katagori : Superior (205-306 points)
b. Average(103-204 points)									
c. Below Average(0-102 points)									

RAC JURNAL Muselema

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria	TITLE	Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely				4				20
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly					5			
6	Results are summarized						6		

7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							5		
		PROBLEM								
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report							6	50	
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely							6		
10	Problem statement is clear							6		
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form that permits them to be tested						5			
12	Limitations of the study can be identified							6		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified							6		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined						5			
15	Significance of the problem is identified						5			
16	Research is justified						5			
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE								
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem						5		31	
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research						5			
19	Studies are critically examined						5			
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear						5			
21	A conceptual framework/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated						5			
22	Review concludes with a brief summary of relevant literature and its implications to the research problem under study							6		
		METHODOLOGY								
		a. Subjects								

23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described								6
24	Sampling method is described								6
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							5	
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error							5	
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified							5	
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse							5	
		b. Instruments							
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented								6
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported							5	
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented							5	
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported							5	
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study								6
		c. Design							
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis								6
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate							5	
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified							5	
37	Description of design i s explicit enough to permit replication							5	
		DATA ANALYSIS							

	That permits them to be tested									
12	Limitation of the study can be identified							5		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified							5		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined							5		
15	Significance of the problem is identified								6	
16	Research is justified								6	
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE								
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem							5		32
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research							5		
19	Studies are critically examined								6	
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear							5		
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated								6	
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and its implications to the research problem under study							5		
		METHODOLOGY								
		a. Subjects								
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described								6	79
24	Sampling method is described								6	

25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							5	
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error							5	
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified								6
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse								6
		b. Instruments							
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented							5	
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported							5	
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented							5	
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported							5	
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study							5	
		c. Design							
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis							5	
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate							5	
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified							5	

37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication							5			
		DATA ANALYSIS									
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions								6	22	
39	Statistical tests used are identified								6		
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for								5		
	hypotheses/ research questions										
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way								5		
		DISCUSSION									
42	Conclusions are clearly stated									40	
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented										6
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed										5
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study										5
46	Implications of the findings are discussed										6
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based										6
48	Recommendations are made for further research										6
		FORM AND STYLE									

5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6		
6	Results are summarized							6		
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							6		
		PROBLEM								
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report							5	50	
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely							5		
10	Problem statement is clear							6		
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form							5		
	That permits them to be tested									
12	Limitations of the study can be identified							5		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified							6		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined							6		
15	Significance of the problem is identified							6		
16	Research is justified							6		
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE								
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem							5	31	
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research							5		
19	Studies are critically examined							5		
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear							5		

21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated							5		
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplications to the research problem understudy							6		
		METHODOLOGY								
		a. Subjects								
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described							6		
24	Sampling method is described							6		
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							6		
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error							6		
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified							6		
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse							6		
		b. Instruments								
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented							6		
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported							6		
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented							6		
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported							6		
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study							6		
		c. Design								

34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis							6	
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate							6	
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified							6	
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication							6	
		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions						5		23
39	Statistical tests used are identified							6	
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for hypotheses/ research questions							6	
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way							6	
		DISCUSSION							
42	Conclusions are clearly stated						5		39
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented						5		
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed						5		
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study							6	
46	Implications of the findings are discussed							6	
47	Results are generalized on ly to populations on which Study is based							6	

48	Recommendations are made for further research							6	
		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written						5		17
50	Report is logically organized						6		
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude						6		
		Total							292
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									Katagori : Superior (205-306 points)
a. Superior(205-306 points)									
b. Average(103-204 points)									
c. Below Average(0-102 points)									

RAC JURNAL Kawecka

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely							6	23
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6	

6	Results are summarized							6		
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							5		
		PROBLEM								
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report							5	52	
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely							5		
10	Problem statement is clear							6		
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form							6		
	That permits them to be tested									
12	Limitations of the study can be identified							6		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified							6		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined							6		
15	Significance of the problem is identified							6		
16	Research is justified							6		
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE								
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem							5	32	
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research							5		
19	Studies are critically examined							5		
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear							6		

21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated							6		
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplications to the research problem understudy						5			
		METHODOLOGY								
		a. Subjects								
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described							6		
24	Sampling method is described							6		
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							6		
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error							6		
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified							6		
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse							6		
		b. Instruments								
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented						5			
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported						5			
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented							6		
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported							6		
									88	

33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study								6	
		c. Design								
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis								6	
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate								6	
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified								6	
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication								6	
		DATA ANALYSIS								
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions								6	24
39	Statistical tests used are identified								6	
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for hypotheses/ research questions								6	
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way								6	
		DISCUSSION								
42	Conclusions are clearly stated								6	39
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented						5			

44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed							6	
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study							5	
46	Implications of the findings are discussed							5	
47	Results are generalized on ly to populations on which Study is based							6	
48	Recommendations are made for further research							6	
		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written							5	16
50	Report is logically organized							5	
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude							6	
		Total							292
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									Kategori : Superior (205-306 points)
a. Superior(205-306 points)									
b. Average(103-204 points)									
c. Below Average(0-102 points)									

RAC JURNAL Nirmalasari

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	

		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely							6	24
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6	
6	Results are summarized							6	
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							6	
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report							5	42
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely							5	
10	Problem statement is clear					4			
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form					4			
	That permits them to be tested								
12	Limitation of the study can be identified							5	
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified					4			
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined							5	
15	Significance of the problem is identified							5	
16	Research is justified							5	
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE							
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem							5	32
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research							5	

19	Studies are critically examined							6
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear							6
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated						5	
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplifications to the research problem understudy						5	
		METHODOLOGY						
		a. Subjects						
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described					4		
24	Sampling method is described							6
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)						5	
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error						5	
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified							6
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse						5	
		b. Instruments						
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented							6
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported							6

31	Relevant previous reliability data are presented								6
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported								6
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study								6
		c. Design							
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis								6
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate							5	
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified							5	
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication							5	
		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions								6
39	Statistical tests used are identified								6
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for								6
	hypotheses/ research questions								
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way					4			
		DISCUSSION							

42	Conclusions are clearly stated						5		38
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented						5		
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed						5		
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study						5		
46	Implications of the findings are discussed						6		
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based						6		
48	Recommendations are made for further research						6		
		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written						6		16
50	Report is logically organized						5		
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude						5		
		Total							274
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									Kategori : Superior (205-306 points)
a. Superior(205-306 points)									
b. Average(103-204 points)									
c. Below Average(0-102 points)									

RAC JURNAL Yuliansyah

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST

No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely						5		21
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6	
6	Results are summarized							6	
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated					4			
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report					4			44
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely						5		
10	Problem statement is clear						5		
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form						5		
	That permits them to be tested								
12	Limitation of the study can be identified							6	
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified					4			
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined						5		
15	Significance of the problem is identified						5		

16	Research is justified						5		
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE							
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem					4			21
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research					4			
19	Studies are critically examined					4			
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear						5		
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated					4			
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplications to the research problem understudy						5		
		METHODOLOGY							
		a. Subjects							
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described							6	87
24	Sampling method is described							6	
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							6	
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error						5		
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified					4			
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse						5		

	hypotheses/ research questions									
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way							6		
		DISCUSSION								
42	Conclusions are clearly stated							5	36	
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented							5		
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed							5		
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study							5		
46	Implications of the findings are discussed							5		
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based							5		
48	Recommendations are made for further research							6		
		FORM AND STYLE								
49	Report is clearly written							5	15	
50	Report is logically organized							5		
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude							5		
		Total								266
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									Kategori : Superior (205-306 points)	
a. Superior(205-306 points)										

b. Average(103-204 points)								
c. Below Average(0-102 points)								

RAC JURNAL Puspitasari

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood						5		17
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely						5		20
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly					4			
6	Results are summarized							6	
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated						5		
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report						5		37
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely						5		
10	Problem statement is clear					4			
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form					4			

	That permits them to be tested								
12	Limitation of the study can be identified						5		
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified					4			
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined						5		
15	Significance of the problem is identified						5		
16	Research is justified								
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE							
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem					4			27
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research					4			
19	Studies are critically examined						5		
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear					4			
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated						5		
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and its implications to the research problem under study						5		
		METHODOLOGY							
		a. Subjects							
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described							6	77

24	Sampling method is described							6
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)							6
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error							6
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified							6
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse						5	
		b. Instruments						
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented						5	
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported						5	
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented						5	
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported						5	
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study							6
		c. Design						
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis						5	
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate							6
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified						5	
37	Description of design i s explicit enough to permit replication						5	

		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions						5		21
39	Statistical tests used are identified						5		
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for						5		
	hypotheses/ research questions								
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way							6	
		DISCUSSION							
42	Conclusions are clearly stated						5		38
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented						5		
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed						5		
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study						5		
46	Implications of the findings are discussed							6	
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based							6	
48	Recommendations are made for further research							6	
		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written						5		15
50	Report is logically organized						5		

51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude						5	
		Total						252
GRAND TOTAL SCORE								Kategori : Superior (205-306 points)
a. Superior(205-306 points)								
b. Average(103-204 points)								
c. Below Average(0-102 points)								

RAC JURNAL Purba

RESEARCH APPRAISAL CHECKLIST									
No	Kriteria		Skor						Total Skor
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
		TITLE							
1	Title is readily understood							6	18
2	Title is clear							6	
3	Title is clearly related to content							6	
		ABSTRAK							
4	Abstract states problem and, where appropriate, hypotheses clearly and concisely							6	24
5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6	
6	Results are summarized							6	
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							6	
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly in the report						5		41
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely				4				
10	Problem statement is clear				4				

11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form					4		
	That permits them to be tested							
12	Limitation of the study can be identified						5	
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified					4		
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined						5	
15	Significance of the problem is identified						5	
16	Research is justified						5	
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE						
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem					4		
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research					4		
19	Studies are critically examined					4		
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear					4		
21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated					4		
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and its implications to the research problem under study					4		
		METHODOLOGY						
		a. Subjects						

23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described						5	
24	Sampling method is described						5	
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)						5	
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error						5	
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified						5	
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse						5	
		b. Instruments						
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented						5	
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported						5	
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented						5	
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported					4		
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study					4		
		c. Design						
34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis					4		
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate					4		

36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified				3				
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication						5		
		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions						5		18
39	Statistical tests used are identified				4				
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for hypotheses/ research questions				4				
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way						5		
		DISCUSSION							
42	Conclusions are clearly stated						5		34
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented				4				
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed				4				
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study						5		
46	Implications of the findings are discussed						5		
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based						5		

5	Methodology is identified and described briefly							6	
6	Results are summarized							6	
7	Findings and/ or conclusions are stated							6	
		PROBLEM							
8	The general problem of the study is introduced clearly							5	46
9	Questions to be answered are stated precisely							5	
10	Problem statement is clear							5	
11	Hypotheses to be tested are stated precisely in a form							5	
	That permits them to be tested								
12	Limitations of the study can be identified							5	
13	Assumptions of the study can be identified							5	
14	Pertinent terms are/ can be operationally defined							5	
15	Significance of the problem is identified							5	
16	Research is justified							6	
		REVIEW OF LITERATURE							
17	Cited literature is pertinent to research problem							5	25
18	Cited literature provides rationale for the research					4			
19	Studies are critically examined					4			
20	Relationship of problem to previous research is made clear					4			

21	A conceptual frame work/ theoretical rationale is clearly stated				4			
22	Review concludes with a brief summary or relevant literature and it simplications to the research problem understudy				4			
		METHODOLOGY						
		a. Subjects						
23	Subject population (sampling frame) is described				3			
24	Sampling method is described				3			
25	Sampling method is justified (especially for non probability sampling)				3			
26	Sample size is sufficient to reduce type II error				3			
27	Possible sources of sampling error can be identified				3			
28	Standards for protections of subjects are discusse				4			
		b. Instruments						
29	Relevant previous reliability data are presented				4			
30	Reliability data pertinent to the present study are Reported				4			
31	Relevant previous realibility data are presented				4			
32	Validity data pertinent to present study are reported				3			
33	Methods of data collection are sufficiently described to permit judgment of their appropriateness to the present study				4			
		c. Design						

34	Design is appropriate to study questions and/or hypothesis					4			
35	Proper controls are included where appropriate					4			
36	Confounding/ moderating variables are/ can be identified					4			
37	Description of design is explicit enough to permit replication					4			
		DATA ANALYSIS							
38	Information presented is sufficient to answer research questions						5		19
39	Statistical tests used are identified						5		
40	Reported statistics are appropriate for						5		
	hypotheses/ research questions								
41	Table and figures are presented in an easy-to understand, informative way					4			
		DISCUSSION							
42	Conclusions are clearly stated						5		34
43	Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented						5		
44	Methodological issues in study are identified and discussed					4			
45	Findings of study are specifically related to conceptual/ theoretical basis of study					4			
46	Implications of the findings are discussed						5		
47	Results are generalized only to populations on which Study is based						5		
48	Recommendations are made for further research							6	

		FORM AND STYLE							
49	Report is clearly written						5		14
50	Report is logically organized						5		
51	Tone of report displays an unbiased, impartial, scientific attitude					4			
		Total							234
GRAND TOTAL SCORE									Kategori : Superior (205-306 points)
a. Superior(205-306 points)									
b. Average(103-204 points)									
c. Below Average(0-102 points)									

Surat Pernyataan

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : Gusti Alpino Virgiawan

Nim : 1911102412069

Judul KIAN : Pengaruh Deep Breathing Exercise Pada Tingkat Dyspnea Dan Kualitas Tidur Pasien Congestive Heart Failure

Dengan surat pernyataan ini saya menyatakan bahwa saya menggunakan metode penelitian literature review. Demikian permohonan yang saya sampaikan atas ucapannya saya ucapkan terimakasih.

Samarinda, 13 Oktober 2020

Pemohon



Gusti Alpino Virgiawan, S.Kep
NIM. 1911102412016


Pembimbing



NS. Taufik Septiawan, M.kep
NIDN. 1111098802

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Profesi Ners



Ns. Dwi Rahmah Fitriani, M.Kep
NIDN. 1119097601







LEMBAR KONSULTASI


Judul KIAN : Pengaruh Breathing Exercise Pada Tingkat Dyspnea Dan Kualitas Tidur Pasien Congestive Heart Failure

Nama : Gusti Alpino Virgiawan

Nim : 1911102412096

Pembimbing I : Ns. Taufik Septiawan, M.Kep

No.	Tanggal	Konsultasi	Hasil Konsultasi	Paraf
1	14 Juli 2020	Konsultasi Jurnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fokuskan ke variable yang akan di ambil - Fokuskan tujuan judul 	
2	15 Juli 2020	Konsultasi jurnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ganti judul jurnal 	
3	16 juli 2020	Konsultasi Jurnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Konsultasi table analisis jurnal 	
4	21 Juli 2020	Konsultasi jurnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Konsultasi table analisis deep breathing exercise 	
5	23 Juli 2020	Konsultasi Jurnal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lanjutkan BAB 1 – 5 	
6	25 Juli 2020	Konsultasi SOP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SOP : pemberian oksigen nasal kanul , tindakan EKG , terapi pijat tangan dan relaksasi nafas dalam 	

7	30 Juli 2020	Konsultasi SOP dan BAB 1-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perbaiki SOP - tambahkan gambar di setiap tindakan - Tambahkan DOA - Buat sampul untuk SOP - Rapihan SOP - Ganti materi di bab 1 cari tahun yang lebih muda - Perjelas mengenai dyspnue dan relaksasi nafas dalam 
8	04 Agustus 2020	Konsultasi BAB 1- BAB 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bab 1 tambahkan lagi mengenai dyspnue - Bab 3 masukan table dufy - Pada RAC ber keterangan pada point hasil apakah masuk pada superior average dll 